

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

SCIENCE

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE: S. NEWCOMB, Mathematics; R. S. WOODWARD, Mechanics; E. C. PICKERING, Astronomy; T. C. MENDENHALL, Physics; R. H. Thurston, Engineering; Ira Remsen, Chemistry;

J. LE CONTE, Geology; W. M. DAVIS, Physiography; O. C. Marsh, Paleontology; W. K.

BROOKS, C. Hart Merriam, Zoology; S. H. Scudder, Entomology; N. L. Britton,

Botany; Henry F. Osborn, General Biology; H. P. Bowditch, Physiology;

J. S. Billings, Hygiene; J. McKeen Cattell, Psychology;

Daniel G. Brinton, J. W. Powell, Anthropology;

G. Brown Goode, Scientific Organization.

FRIDAY, AUGUST 14, 1896.

CONTENTS:

Paleontology as a Morphological Discipline: W. B. Scott
On Pholadidea Penita and its Method of Boring; FRANCIS E. LLOYD188
Sir Joseph Prestwich: E. W. C190
Current Notes on Anthropology:— Researches in Mexico; A New Anthropological Journal: D. G. Brinton191
Scientific Notes and News:— Adaptations in Cave-Dwelling Animals: C. L. F. Color Photography: C. L. F. The Eyesight of English School Children; The Observatory of Yale University; General
University and Educational News199
Discussion and Correspondence:— The Names Epiphysis, Conarium and Corpus Pineale: Burt G. Wilder. The Metric System: R. D. D. SMITH199
Scientific Literature:— Mathematical Papers read at the International Congress: F. N. Cole. Recent Text-books of Geometry: Thomas S. Fiske. McDevitt's Ameri- can Fonostenografy: J. N. B. Hewitt. Swann's Hand-book of British Birds: Frank M. Chap- Man
Scientific Journals:— Psyche204
MSS. intended for publication and books, etc., intended for review should be sent to the responsible editor, Prof. J. McKeen Cattell, Garrison-on-Hudson, N. Y.

PALEONTOLOGY AS A MORPHOLOGICAL DIS-CIPLINE.*

THE day has forever gone by when any one mind, however profound and comprehensive, can take all knowledge for its province. Increase of knowledge, like advance

*A lecture given at the Marine Biological Laboratory, Wood's Holl, printed in advance of its publication in the Lectures of the Marine Biological Laboratory by permission of the Director, Prof. Whitman.

of civilization, necessarily brings with it a division of labor, and each of the great branches of science becomes more and more minutely divided and subdivided for the purposes of investigation. Such subdivision greatly enhances the efficiency of the individual worker, enabling him to concentrate his attention upon some definite problem of more or less limited scope, and, so far, it is advantageous. On the other hand, like most human devices, it has its drawbacks, and what is gained in one direction is apt to be lost in another. One great and growing evil is the subdivision of knowledge which accompanies specialization of research. The worker finds the greatest difficulty in keeping abreast of all that is being accomplished by fellow laborers in his own field; how, then, shall he find time to learn anything of the work in other fields? to do so involves the penalty of such a narrowness of view as will inevitably lessen the value of his own work, because deductions drawn legitimately enough from a single line of investigation often appear absurd when tested by a wider range of facts. Many a blunder might be avoided, were the worker's vision not so strictly limited by the boundaries of his own speciality.

The narrowing effects of this subdivision of knowledge result in a more or less marked loss of sympathy and mutual understanding between the representatives of the different branches of the same science. To